

From: [Inman, Bob](#)
To: [Grizzle, Betty](#)
Subject: hello and paper
Date: Friday, November 3, 2017 1:17:22 PM
Attachments: [NWSCIENCE-D-16-00032_R2.pdf](#)

Hello Betty,

I am just starting to read through the SSA. I got to a place where it reminded me I should send you the paper that we have had accepted in Northwest Science that describes M56's movements. So a draft is attached.

Also, WOW, great and thorough examinations of the 2 sub-species question, the physiology, and the phylogeography and genetics! Looking forward to reading more.

-Bob Inman

Robert M. Inman, PhD
Carnivore-Furbearer Coordinator
Montana Fish, Wildlife and Parks
1420 East 6th Ave., PO Box 200701,
Helena, MT 59620-0701
406-444-0042 (o)
406-570-5326 (c)
bobinman@mt.gov

Northwest Science

Long Distance Wolverine Dispersal from Wyoming to Historic Range in Colorado --Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	NWSCIENCE-D-16-00032R2
Full Title:	Long Distance Wolverine Dispersal from Wyoming to Historic Range in Colorado
Short Title:	Wolverine Dispersal
Article Type:	Notes
Keywords:	wolverine; dispersal; long-distance; connectivity
Corresponding Author:	Meghan Riley Wolverine Initiative Dubois, UNITED STATES
Corresponding Author Secondary Information:	
Corresponding Author's Institution:	Wolverine Initiative
Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:	
First Author:	Mark Packila
First Author Secondary Information:	
Order of Authors:	Mark Packila Meghan Riley Robert Spence Robert Inman
Order of Authors Secondary Information:	
Abstract:	Wolverines (<i>Gulo gulo</i>) within the conterminous U.S. exist as a metapopulation dependent upon individuals dispersing between patches of habitat to persist. Dispersal events can be difficult to document for long-ranging carnivores, but have implications for understanding gene flow and connectivity between both currently occupied and historically occupied habitat. Here, we describe the long-distance dispersal of a subadult, male wolverine (M56) from a reproductive population in northwest Wyoming to northern Colorado where wolverines had been absent for 90 years. M56 was ultimately shot in North Dakota in 2016, the first verified wolverine in that state in over 200 years. Following capture and collaring, we collected aerial VHF telemetry locations as M56 navigated potential barriers, including interstate highways and subdivisions, and travelled extensively outside of primary wolverine habitat through the arid grasslands and shrublands of the Wyoming Basin Ecoregion. Straight-line distances for dispersals from Wyoming to Colorado (516km) and from Colorado to North Dakota (826km) are the longest straight-line dispersal distances reported for the species at this time. The Wyoming Basin Ecoregion M56 traversed is dominated by sagebrush and topography less rugged than that typical of the high elevation, mountainous habitat wolverines use in the conterminous U.S. This dispersal event offers evidence of connectivity between occupied habitat in Wyoming and unoccupied habitat within the species' historic range in Colorado and provides a detailed account of the path M56 took through atypical habitat.
Suggested Reviewers:	Audrey Magoun, PhD Wildlife Research and Management 222wsh Sheridan@gmail.com Dr. Magoun is a wolverine biologist who has studied the species in North America for over 30 years. She is intimately familiar with wolverine biology and has impeccable attention to detail.
Opposed Reviewers:	

Mark L. Packila¹, Wildlife Conservation Society, 222 E Main Street, Lone Elk 3B, Ennis, MT
95729

Meghan D. Riley¹, Wolverine Initiative, PO Box 1567, Dubois, WY 82513
95729

Robert S. Spence², Wildlife Conservation Society, 222 E Main Street, Lone Elk 3B, Ennis, MT

Robert M. Inman^{3,4}, Wildlife Conservation Society, 222 E Main Street, Lone Elk 3B, Ennis,
MT 59729

Long-Distance Wolverine Dispersal from Wyoming to Historic Range in Colorado

Running footer: Wolverine Dispersal

Keywords: wolverine, dispersal, metapopulation, connectivity

0 tables, 3 figures

¹ Current address: PO Box 1567, Dubois, WY 82513

² Current address: U.S. Forest Service, 330 Gallatin Rd., West Yellowstone, MT 59758

³ Author to whom correspondence should be addressed: Email bobinman@mt.gov

⁴ Current address: Montana Fish, Wildlife and Parks, 1420 East 6th Ave., PO Box 200701,
Helena, MT 59620

Abstract

Wolverines (*Gulo gulo*) within the conterminous U.S. exist as a metapopulation dependent upon individuals dispersing between patches of habitat to persist. Dispersal events can be difficult to document for long-ranging carnivores, but have implications for understanding gene flow and connectivity between both currently occupied and historically occupied habitat. Here, we describe the long-distance dispersal of a subadult, male wolverine (M56) from a reproductive population in northwest Wyoming to northern Colorado where wolverines had been absent for 90 years. M56 was ultimately **shot** in North Dakota in 2016, the first verified wolverine in that state in over 200 years. Following capture and collaring, we collected aerial VHF telemetry locations as M56 navigated potential barriers, including interstate highways and subdivisions, and travelled extensively outside of primary wolverine habitat through the arid grasslands and shrublands of the Wyoming Basin Ecoregion. Straight-line distances for dispersals from Wyoming to Colorado (516km) and from Colorado to North Dakota (826km) are the longest straight-line dispersal distances reported for the species at this time. The Wyoming Basin Ecoregion M56 traversed is dominated by sagebrush and topography less rugged than that typical of the high elevation, mountainous habitat wolverines use in the conterminous U.S. This dispersal event offers evidence of connectivity between occupied habitat in Wyoming and unoccupied habitat within the species' historic range in Colorado and provides a detailed account of the path M56 took through atypical habitat.

Introduction

For wide-ranging carnivore species that occur at low densities, long-distance dispersals between areas of suitable habitat can be crucial for gene flow and metapopulation connectivity (Noss et al. 1996, Weaver et al. 1996). In many cases these dispersals occur across areas containing atypical habitat (Sweaner et al. 2000), human activity (Paquet et al. 1996, Woodroffe and Ginsberg 1998), and barriers to movement such as roads (Trombulak and Frissel 2000, Riley et al. 2006). Examples of such long-distance dispersals exist for pumas (Stoner et al. 2010, Hawley et al. 2016), leopards (Fattebert et al. 2013), and wolves (Ciucci et al. 2009, Andersen et al. 2015).

As a snow-adapted species (Schwartz et al. 2009), wolverines in the conterminous U.S. exist as a demographically vulnerable metapopulation dependent on dispersal between areas of high elevation habitat separated by relatively arid valley bottoms (Cegelski et al. 2006, Gude et al. 2007, Schwartz et al. 2009, Inman et al. 2013). Inman et al. (2013) identified several covariates associated with areas suitable for use by resident adult wolverines (primary habitat) in the conterminous U.S. including steep terrain, deep snow, proximity to high elevation talus fields, proximity to trees, and few roads. In the southern extent of wolverine range in the conterminous U.S., such areas exist in island-like patches in mountain ranges separated by lower elevation valleys and basins unsuitable to survival by resident wolverines. Wolverines are capable of dispersing through atypical habitat (Inman et al. 2012) and over great distances (Magoun 1985, Gardner et al. 1986, Flagstad et al. 2004, Aubry et al. 2016). Nevertheless, it is difficult to track dispersing wolverines, especially those making long-distance dispersals outside their natal home range (Vangen et al. 2001). Multiple research studies in the Rocky Mountains have lost radio contact with dispersal-age study animals (Inman et al. 2004, Squires et al. 2006,

Copeland and Yates 2008, Murphy et al. 2011) and data chronicling wolverine movements during dispersal events are limited.

Historical evidence suggests wolverines were, or nearly were, extirpated from the conterminous U.S. by about 1930 (Grinnell et al. 1937, Newby and Wright 1955, Aubry et al. 2007), but have recovered within portions of their historic distribution in Washington, Montana, Idaho, and Wyoming. This reestablishment of reproductive populations demonstrates that dispersing wolverines are capable of repopulating previously occupied areas (Newby and Wright 1955, Newby and McDougal 1964, Cegelski et al. 2003, Flagstad et al. 2004, Anderson and Aune 2008). More recently, a remote camera photograph and subsequent genetic analysis of samples from a wolverine in California provided evidence of a long-distance dispersal from currently occupied habitat in the Rocky Mountains to unoccupied habitat in the Sierra Nevada where no verified wolverines had been documented in 86 years (Moriarty et al. 2009). This case illustrates the potential for long-distance wolverine dispersals across atypical habitat, yet does not provide information on the route the animal may have taken. During spring 2009 we monitored the dispersal of M56, a subadult male wolverine, from northwestern Wyoming to northern Colorado. M56 was the first verified wild wolverine documented in Colorado in 90 years (Grinnell 1926, Nead et al. 1985, Aubry et al. 2007, Tanya Shenk, Colorado Division of Wildlife, personal communication). M56 subsequently travelled to and was shot in North Dakota; the first verified wild wolverine in North Dakota in over 200 years (Bailey 1926). Here, we present a detailed account M56's dispersal through atypical habitat from a wolverine population in Wyoming to historic, unoccupied wolverine range in Colorado.

Methods

Capture and Handling

We tracked M56 as part of a larger wolverine ecology study in the Greater Yellowstone Ecosystem of Montana, Idaho, and Wyoming conducted from 2000-2010. Over the course of the study, we captured and monitored 38 wolverines (23 female, 15 male). Study area elevations ranged from 1400 to 4200m. We captured wolverines during winter in log box traps (Copeland et al. 1995, Lofroth et al. 2008) equipped with trap-transmitters (Telonics, TBT-500, Mesa, AZ, USA). We captured juvenile wolverines by hand at den or rendezvous sites (Persson et al. 2006). We used a variable-powered CO₂ pistol (CO₂ PI, Dan-Inject, Knoxville, TN, USA), a syringe pole, or a hand syringe to deliver an initial dose of 8.0mg/kg ketamine + 0.05mg/kg medetomidine + 0.40mg/kg torbugesic (Quigley 2000) or approximately 7.5mg/kg ketamine + 0.25mg/kg medetomidine (Fahlman et al. 2008). We surgically implanted wolverines with an intra-peritoneal VHF radio-transmitter (Telonics Imp400L, Imp300L, Mesa, AZ, USA; Advanced Telemetry Systems M1255B, M1250B, M1245B, Isanti, MN, USA). We followed handling procedures approved by the Animal Care and Use Committees of the Hornocker Wildlife Institute/Wildlife Conservation Society (2000-RMW-504, 2000–2006) and Montana Department of Fish, Wildlife and Parks (IACUC 1-2006, FWP2-2010) as permitted by U.S. Department of Agriculture, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (Permits 81-R-0015 and 81-R-0018).

We fit M56 with a global positioning system (GPS) collar programmed to collect locations at various intervals (Televilt POSREC 300, Telemetry Solutions, Concord, CA, USA; Lotek Wireless Inc. 3300SL, 6000SL, Newmarket, Ontario, Canada). Based on age at reproductive maturity for female wolverines (Persson et al. 2006) and the age through which

dispersal movements typically occur, we classified wolverines of three years or older as adults and wolverines under three years of age as subadults.

Dispersal Observations

We attempted to collect aerial VHF telemetry locations every ten days in M56's natal home range (December 2008 – March 2009), then at least once daily during dispersal movements (April 2009 – July 2009), weather permitting. We estimated VHF telemetry error to be approximately 300m (Inman et al. 2012). We calculated the minimum distance M56 travelled during dispersal using straight-line segments between VHF locations. We calculated a straight-line dispersal distance between the site M56 was first captured and the last VHF location we recorded in Colorado. We calculated a straight-line dispersal distance between the last VHF location we recorded in Colorado and the location where M56 was shot in North Dakota. We calculated three metrics to describe rate of movement during dispersal: average rate of daily movement, average rate of daily movement while travelling, and average rate of daily movement while resting. We calculated the average rate of daily movement by dividing the minimum distance travelled by the number of days the dispersal occurred over. To calculate average rate of daily movement while travelling or resting, we grouped VHF locations into blocks covering roughly 24hrs of movement (from 21hr to 27hr). We classified these blocks of locations as “travelling” if the total distance travelled was >15km or as “resting” if the total distance travelled was ≤15km. We then estimated average rate of daily movement for blocks of travelling locations and blocks of resting locations separately (total distance travelled divided by total blocks of locations). Because M56 travelled great distances during some periods and remained relatively stationary within a small cluster of locations during others, we submit that these three metrics

1
2
3
4 give a more comprehensive representation for rate of movement during dispersal than a simple
5
6 average alone. We classified VHF locations as occurring either inside or outside primary
7
8 wolverine habitat using maps created by Inman et al. (2013). Additionally, we classified VHF
9
10 locations according to cover type using data from the U.S. Geological Survey's Gap Analysis
11
12 Program (USGS 2010). We made movement calculations and habitat and land cover
13
14 classifications using ArcGIS 9.3 (ESRI 2009).
15
16
17
18
19
20

21 **Results**

22 **VHF Locations**

23
24 During the course of our study, we documented dispersal movements for 9 wolverines (4 female,
25
26 5 male). Generally, movements from the center of the natal home range did not exceed 200km
27
28 (Inman et al. 2012). We began tracking M56 following capture as a subadult in northwestern
29
30 Wyoming on 20 December 2008 and suspended tracking in northern Colorado on 1 July 2009
31
32 (Figure 1). Due to failure of the GPS collar's VHF transmitter by 8 May 2009, we used the intra-
33
34 peritoneal VHF radio transmitter for most tracking during the dispersal event. From January to
35
36 March, VHF locations indicated M56 was using an area of primary wolverine habitat near the
37
38 capture site at Lava Mountain near the nexus of the Absaroka and Wind River mountain ranges.
39
40 During two periods, between January and April, M56 was not located during VHF flights (17
41
42 January – 3 February 2009 and 12 March – 5 April 2009). It is possible M56 was making
43
44 exploratory movements in the first period and began dispersing in the second. M56 likely began
45
46 dispersing in March. This time frame is consistent with the average age of dispersal of 13 months
47
48 reported by Vangen et al. (2001) and coincides with a period of improving travel conditions as
49
50 snow stabilizes (Inman et al. 2012). From 6 April 2009 when we began tracking the dispersal
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 event to 1 July 2009 when we suspended tracking efforts, we recorded 104 VHF locations.

5
6 During this time, M56 travelled a minimum distance of 710km, generally focusing movements
7
8 along higher elevation areas in the landscape rather than taking the most direct route south. The
9
10 straight-line distance from point of capture to final VHF location in Colorado was 516km. The
11
12 average rate of daily movement during dispersal was 8.3km per day. The average rate of daily
13
14 movement while travelling was 33.5km per day (min=17.8km, max=49.3km, n=6). The average
15
16 rate of daily movement while resting was 2.9km per day (min=0.3km, max=10.5km, n=12).
17
18
19
20

21 Of the 104 VHF locations we collected during dispersal, 53 occurred within primary
22
23 wolverine habitat and 51 occurred outside primary wolverine habitat. After locating M56 in
24
25 primary habitat at the southern end of the Wind River Range on 6 April 2009, M56 travelled at
26
27 minimum 411km outside primary habitat across the Wyoming Basin Ecoregion (WBE) before
28
29 reaching primary habitat on Elk Mountain in southeastern Wyoming on 25 May 2009. The WBE
30
31 is an arid, intermontane basin in central and southwestern Wyoming dominated by grasslands
32
33 and shrublands and punctuated by occasional hills and low mountains (Omernik 1987). Land
34
35 cover types for VHF locations within the WBE included Wyoming big sagebrush (n=16),
36
37 lodgepole pine (n=8), basin exposed rock/soil (n=6), juniper woodland (n=1), and desert shrub
38
39 (n=1). VHF locations in lodgepole pine and juniper woodlands occurred on hills or small
40
41 mountain ranges including the Green, Granite, and Shirley Mountains. Such locations in
42
43 lodgepole and juniper cover types coincided with clusters of resting locations representing
44
45 periods when M56 remained relatively stationary over multiple days. One such cluster of resting
46
47 locations in the Granite Mountains was centered at a cow carcass on a ranch (Figure 2). While
48
49 travelling through sagebrush, exposed rock and soil, and desert shrub cover types M56 generally
50
51 took multi-hour, rather than multi-day rest periods. Snow was mostly absent at VHF locations in
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 the WBE with the exception of four locations atop the Shirley Mountains. Snow was largely
5
6 present at VHF locations concentrated in primary habitat in mountainous areas of Wyoming and
7
8 Colorado, although patchy in some areas in late May and June.
9

10
11 We first located M56 in Colorado on 2 June 2009. Three weeks later, a wolverine was
12
13 photographed in primary habitat within Rocky Mountain National Park (Figure 3). Hair-rubbing
14
15 along the wolverine's neck, consistent with wear from a GPS collar, supports the assumption that
16
17 the animal was M56. Colorado Parks and Wildlife took over monitoring M56 in July of 2009.
18
19 M56's last known Colorado location was collected in 2012. On 24 April 2016 M56 was shot in a
20
21 cow pasture near Alexander, North Dakota (Stephanie Tucker, North Dakota Game and Fish,
22
23 personal communication). M56 was identified by the intra-peritoneal VHF radio-transmitter in
24
25 the abdomen during necropsy. The straight-line distance from the final VHF location we
26
27 collected on M56 in Colorado and the location where M56 was shot in North Dakota was
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
826km.
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Potential Barriers to Movement

40
41 In addition to crossing atypical habitat in the WBE, M56 encountered a number of other potential
42
43 barriers to movement while dispersing from Wyoming to Colorado. Between capture on 20
44
45 December 2008 and 1 July 2009, M56 was located in six National Forests, three BLM Districts,
46
47 one National Park, Wyoming and Colorado State Lands, and various private lands. M56 crossed
48
49 nine highways during dispersal including one interstate (I80), two U.S. highways (US287 in
50
51 Wyoming, US34 in Colorado), and five state highways (WY28, WY220, WY130, WY230,
52
53 CO14). M56 also travelled within close proximity to areas of human activity at subdivisions near
54
55 the border between Wyoming and Colorado.
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 In the case of highways, we were able to make observations during two road crossings. When
5
6 crossing I80, the largest and highest traffic volume highway along the dispersal route, aerial and
7
8 ground-based telemetry indicated that M56 crossed between midnight and 0400 on 25 May 2009.
9
10 At 0831 on the morning of 31 May 2009, we observed from the air as M56 approached state
11
12 highway WY130 from the north and summited a small rise next to the road. M56 halted as two
13
14 cars passed. After the cars passed, a motorcycle heading in the opposite direction approached
15
16 and M56 ran into tree cover away from the road, then continued west and climbed a sheer
17
18 mountainside, crossing over the crest of the mountains north of the road. M56 eventually
19
20 continued south across WY130 unobserved at a different crossing.
21
22
23
24
25

26 In the case of human activity, we observed M56 from the air in close proximity to two
27
28 areas of human habitation between I80 and the Wyoming-Colorado border. In one case, M56
29
30 descended in elevation on a trajectory to cross a river valley with vehicle activity on the roads.
31
32 Upon leaving tree cover and approaching the roads, M56 changed direction and moved back
33
34 towards higher elevation. At this time, M56 either skirted or crossed the edge of a housing
35
36 development with loose dogs and human activity before climbing back into tree cover. In another
37
38 instance we observed M56 approach the corner of a cluster of homes surrounded by
39
40 undeveloped, spruce-fir land cover type. M56 moved approximately 0.5 miles north, away from
41
42 the development, prior to continuing southeast.
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

50 Discussion

51 Cases of wolverines dispersing long-distances (Magoun 1985, Gardner et al. 1986, Flagstad et al.
52
53 2004, Aubry et al. 2016) and recolonizing unoccupied habitat (Vangen et al. 2001, Moriarty et al.
54
55 2009) have been documented in multiple studies. M56's dispersals to Colorado and North
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 Dakota further confirm the great distances some wolverines may travel when dispersing. The
5
6 dispersal distances of 516km (Wyoming to Colorado) and 826 km (Colorado to North Dakota)
7
8 are the first observations of straight-line dispersal distances exceeding 500km reported for
9
10 wolverines **in the literature**. The dispersal to Colorado serves as an example of the capacity for
11
12 wolverines to recolonize unoccupied habitat as well. How often a dispersal of this nature occurs
13
14 is unknown, although chance detections of wolverines outside of occupied habitat in Michigan
15
16 (Shaw and Ford 2012), California (Moriarty et al. 2009), Colorado (Figure 3), Utah (Associated
17
18 Press 2014), and North Dakota (Stephanie Tucker, North Dakota Game and Fish, personal
19
20 communication) in the past 13 years suggest it is not exceedingly rare.
21
22
23
24

25
26 In addition to the great distances travelled, the route M56 took through atypical habitat in
27
28 the WBE, often in the absence of snow and across potential barriers to movement, is noteworthy.
29
30 This evidence that connectivity is currently adequate for a wolverine to disperse from occupied
31
32 habitat in Wyoming to unoccupied habitat in Colorado **is encouraging**. Inman et al. (2013)
33
34 estimated that the block of primary habitat in the Southern Rockies of Colorado and New
35
36 Mexico could account for 21% of the wolverine population in the conterminous U.S., were all
37
38 areas of primary habitat occupied. Were a reproductive wolverine population to become
39
40 established in the Southern Rockies it could increase the size of the conterminous U.S. wolverine
41
42 metapopulation significantly. Despite M56's successful dispersal, recovery of a population in
43
44 Colorado by wild wolverines dispersing from the north seems unlikely. Female wolverines have
45
46 not yet been documented dispersing similar distances or across arid valley bottoms similar to
47
48 movements made by this male (Vangen et al. 2001, Inman et al. 2012). Nevertheless, this event
49
50 **does leave hope for occasional gene flow between more northern populations and any potential**
51
52 **future Colorado population.**
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 Despite the evidence this dispersal provides of possible connectivity between primary
5 habitat in Wyoming and Colorado, it is likely potential barriers to movement will only increase
6 over time. The human population is growing in wolverine range within the conterminous U.S.,
7 and further expansion of exurban housing developments and road systems could compromise
8 connectivity. Our observations of M56 encountering potential barriers such as roads and areas of
9 human habitation suggest these landscape features may have affected the route M56 followed as
10 well as the timing of movements. Wolverines have been known to avoid roads and areas of
11 human activity in other instances (Hash 1987, Banci 1994). Detailed movement data need to be
12 collected to determine what levels of roadway traffic volumes and housing development
13 densities wolverines will move across and through. These data would be difficult to obtain, but
14 developing guidelines for placement, size, and spacing of housing developments may be crucial
15 to preserving connectivity for dispersing wolverines in the conterminous U.S. Furthermore, the
16 fact that these long-distance dispersals took place across multiple states and over land managed
17 by several different agencies and private entities underscores the need for cooperative,
18 transboundary management of wolverines in the conterminous U.S. Because the wolverine
19 metapopulation relies upon dispersal between far-flung patches of primary habitat, land
20 management agencies and the public must consider how to maintain or enhance connectivity if
21 they wish to conserve wolverines at this southernmost extent of their range.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

50 **Acknowledgements**

51 We thank the following for providing funding, permits, or in-kind support: Beaverhead-
52
53 Deerlodge and Bridge-Teton National Forests, Brainerd Foundation, Bullit Foundation, Canyon
54
55 Creek Foundation, Caribou-Targhee National Forest, Y. Chouinard, Disney Worldwide
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 Conservation Fund, Gallatin National Forest, Grand Teton National Park, Greater Yellowstone
5
6 Coordinating Committee, Idaho Department of Fish & Game, Laura Moore Cunningham
7
8 Foundation, Montana Department of Fish, Wildlife and Parks, National Fish & Wildlife
9
10 Foundation, National Geographic Conservation Trust, New York Community Trust, Richard
11
12 King Mellon Foundation, Tapeats Fund, L. Westbrook, WCS Wildlife Action-Opportunities
13
14 Fund supported by the Doris Duke Charitable Foundation, Wilburforce Foundation, Wyoming
15
16 Game & Fish Department, and private individuals. We thank all who assisted in capture and
17
18 tracking efforts during the winter and spring of 2008/2009. We thank photographer, Ray Rafiti,
19
20 for sharing his photograph of M56 in Rocky Mountain National Park. We thank several
21
22 anonymous reviewers who gave valuable comments to improve the manuscript.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

31 **Literature Cited**

32
33 Andersen, L. W., V. Harms, R. Caniglia, S. D. Czarnomska, E. Fabbri, B. Jędrzejewska, G.
34
35 Kluth, A. B. Madsen, C. Nowak, C. Pertoldi, E. Randi, I. Rinhardt, and A. V. Stronen.
36
37 2015. Long-distance dispersal of a wolf, *Canis lupus*, in northwestern Europe. *Mammal*
38
39 *Research* 60:163-168.
40
41
42 Anderson, N. J., and K. E. Aune. 2008. Fecundity of female wolverine in Montana.
43
44 *Intermountain Journal of Sciences* 14:17-30.
45
46
47 Associated Press. 2014, July 2. Utah wolverine sighting first documented in 30 years. The
48
49 Washington Times. Retrieved from
50
51 [http://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2014/jul/2/images-document-wolverine-in-uinta-](http://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2014/jul/2/images-document-wolverine-in-uinta-mountains/)
52
53 [mountains/](http://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2014/jul/2/images-document-wolverine-in-uinta-mountains/)
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1
2
3
4 Aubry, K. B., K. S. McKelvey, and J. P. Copeland. 2007. Distribution and broadscale habitat
5
6 relations of the wolverine in the contiguous United States. *Journal of Wildlife*
7
8 *Management* 71:2147-2158.
9
- 10
11 Aubry, K. B., J. Rohrer, C. M. Raley, and S. Fitkin. 2016. Wolverine distribution and ecology
12
13 in the north Cascades ecosystem. U.S. Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research
14
15 Station, Olympia, Washington, USA. 57pp.
16
17
- 18
19 Bailey, V. 1926. A biological survey of North Dakota. *North American Fauna* 49:1-226.
20
- 21 Banci, V. 1994. Wolverine. In L. F. Ruggiero, K. B. Aubry, S. W. Buskirk, L. J. Lyon, and W. J.
22
23 Zielinski (technical editors), *The Scientific Basis for Conserving Forest Carnivores:*
24
25 *American Marten, Fisher, Lynx, and Wolverine in the Western United States.* General
26
27 Technical Report RM-254. U.S. Forest Service Rocky Mountain Forest and Range
28
29 Experiment Station, Fort Collins, Colorado. Pp. 99-127.
30
31
- 32
33 Cegelski, C. C., L. P. Waits, and N. J. Anderson. 2003. Assessing population structure and gene
34
35 flow in Montana wolverines (*Gulo gulo*) using assignment-based approaches. *Molecular*
36
37 *Ecology* 12:2907-2918.
38
39
- 40
41 Cegelski, C. C., L. P. Waits, N. J. Anderson, O. Flagstad, C. Strobeck, and C. J. Kyle. 2006.
42
43 Genetic diversity and population structure of wolverine (*Gulo gulo*) populations at the
44
45 southern edge of their current distribution in North America with implications for genetic
46
47 viability. *Conservation Genetics* 7:197-211.
48
49
- 50
51 Ciucci, P., W. Reggioni, L. Maiorano, and L. Boitani. 2009. Long-distance dispersal of a rescued
52
53 wolf from the northern Apennines to the western Alps. *Journal of Wildlife Management*
54
55 73:1300-1306.
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 Copeland, J. P., E. Cesar, J. M. Peek, C. E Harris, C. D. Long, and D. L. Hunter. 1995. A live
5
6 trap for wolverine and other forest carnivores. *Wildlife Society Bulletin* 23:535-538.
7
8

9 Copeland, J. P., and R. E. Yates. 2008. Wolverine population assessment in Glacier National
10
11 Park, comprehensive summary update. *The Ecology and Management of Wildlife and*
12
13 *Habitats*, RWU 4201, USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station,
14
15 Missoula, MT, USA.
16
17

18
19 ESRI. 2009. ArcGIS version 9.3. Environmental Systems Research Institute, Redlands,
20
21 California, USA.
22

23
24 Fahlman, Å., J. M. Arnemo, J. Persson, P. Segerström, and G. Nyman. 2008. Capture and
25
26 medetomidine-ketamine anesthesia of free ranging wolverines. *Journal of Wildlife*
27
28 *Diseases* 44: 133-142.
29

30
31 Fattebert, J., T. Dickerson, G. Balme, R. Slotow, and L. Hunter. 2013. Long-distance natal
32
33 dispersal in leopard reveals potential for a three-country metapopulation. *South Africa*
34
35 *Journal of Wildlife Research* 43:61-67.
36
37

38
39 Flagstad, O., E. Hedmark, A. Landa, H. Brøseth, J. Persson, R. Andersen, P. Segerstöm, and H.
40
41 Ellegren. 2004. Colonization history and noninvasive monitoring of a reestablished
42
43 wolverine population. *Conservation Biology* 18:676-688.
44

45
46 Gardner, C. L., W. B. Ballard, and R. H. Jessup. 1986. Long distance movement by an adult
47
48 wolverine. *Journal of Mammalogy* 67:603.
49

50
51 Grinnell, G. B. 1926. Some habits of the wolverine. *Journal of Mammalogy* 7:30-34.
52

53
54 Grinnell, J., J. S. Dixon, and J. M. Linsdale. 1937. *Fur-bearing mammals of California*.
55
56 University of California Press, Berkeley, CA, USA.
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1
2
3
4 Gude, P. H., A. J. Hansen, and D. A. Jones. 2007. Biodiversity consequences of alternative
5
6 future land use scenarios in Greater Yellowstone. *Ecological Applications* 17:1004-1018.
7
8
9 Hash, H. 1987. Wolverine. *In* M. Novak, J. Baker, M. Obbard, and B. Malloch (editors). *Wild*
10
11 *Furbearer Management and Conservation in North America*. Toronto, ON: Ontario
12
13 Ministry of Natural Resources. Pp. 575-585.
14
15
16 Hawley, J. E., P. W. Rego, A. P. Wydeven, M. K. Schwartz, T. C. Viner, R. Kays, K. L. Pilgrim,
17
18 and J. A. Jenks. 2016. Long-distance dispersal of a subadult male cougar from South
19
20 Dakota to Connecticut documented with DNA evidence. *Journal of Mammalogy*
21
22 97:1435-1440.
23
24
25
26 Inman, R. M., B. L. Brock, K. H. Inman, S. S. Sartorius, B. C. Aber, B. Giddings, S. L. Cain, M.
27
28 L. Orme, J. A. Fredrick, B. J. Oakleaf, K. L. Alt, E. Odell, and G. Chapron. 2013.
29
30 Developing priorities for metapopulation conservation at the landscape scale: Wolverines
31
32 in the western United States. *Biological Conservation* 166:267-286.
33
34
35
36 Inman, R.M., M.L. Packila, K.H. Inman, A.J. McCue, G.C. White, J. Persson, B.C. Aber, M.L.
37
38 Orme, K.L. Alt, S.L. Cain, J.A. Fredrick, B.J. Oakleaf, and S.S. Sartorius. 2012. Spatial
39
40 Ecology of wolverines at the southern periphery of distribution. *Journal of Wildlife*
41
42 *Management* 76:778-792.
43
44
45
46 Inman, R. M., R. R. Wigglesworth, K. H. Inman, M. K. Schwartz, B. L. Brock, and J. D. Rieck.
47
48 2004. Wolverine makes extensive movements in the Greater Yellowstone Ecosystem.
49
50 *Northwest Science* 78:261-266.
51
52
53 Lofroth, E. C., R. Klafki, J. A. Krebs, and D. Lewis. 2008. Evaluation of live-capture techniques
54
55 for free-ranging wolverines. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 72:1253-1261.
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1
2
3
4 Magoun, A. J. 1985. Population characteristics, ecology and management of wolverines in
5
6 northwestern Alaska. Dissertation, University of Alaska, Fairbanks, USA.
7
8
- 9 Moriarty, K. M, W. J. Zielinski, A. G. Gonzales, T. E. Dawson, K. M. Boatner, C. A. Wilson, F.
10
11 V. Schlexer, K. L. Pilgrim, J. P. Copeland, and M. K. Schwartz. 2009. Wolverine
12
13 confirmation in California after nearly a century: native or long-distance immigrant?
14
15 Northwest Science 83:154-162.
16
17
- 18 Murphy, K., J. Wilmot, J. Copeland, D. Tyers, and J. Squires. 2011. Wolverines in Greater
19
20 Yellowstone. Yellowstone Science 19:17-24.
21
22
- 23 Nead, D. M., J. C. Halfpenny, and S. Bissell. 1985. The status of wolverines in Colorado.
24
25 Northwest Science 8:286-289.
26
27
- 28 Newby, F. E., and P. L. Wright. 1955. Distribution and status of the wolverine in Montana.
29
30 Journal of Mammalogy 36:248-253.
31
32
- 33 Newby, F. E., and J. J. McDougal. 1964. Range extension of the wolverine in Montana. Journal
34
35 of Mammalogy 45:485-486.
36
37
- 38 Noss, R. F., H. B. Quigley, M. G. Hornocker, T. Merrill, and P. C. Paquet. 1996. Conservation
39
40 biology and carnivore conservation in the Rocky Mountains. Conservation Biology
41
42 10:949-963.
43
44
- 45 Omernik, J. M. 1987. Ecoregions of the conterminous United States. Map (scale 1:7,500,000).
46
47 Annals Association of American Geographers 77:118-125.
48
49
- 50 Paquet, P. C., J. Wierzchowski, and C. Callaghan. 1996. Effects of human activity on gray
51
52 wolves in the Bow River Valley, Banff National Park, Alberta. *In* J. Green, C. Pacas, L.
53
54 Cornwell, and S. Bayley (eds.). Ecological Outlooks Project. A Cumulative Effects
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 Assessment and Futures Outlook of the Banff Bow Valley. Prepared for the Banff Bow
5
6 Valley Study. Department of Canadian Heritage, Ottawa, ON, Canada.
7
8

9 Persson, J. A., Landa, R. Andersen, and P. Segerström. 2006. Reproductive characteristics of
10
11 female wolverines (*Gulo gulo*) in Scandinavia. *Journal of Mammalogy* 87:75-79.
12
13

14 Quigley, K. 2000. Hornocker Wildlife Institute immobilization and biological sampling
15
16 protocols. Hornocker Wildlife Institute, Moscow, ID, USA.
17
18

19 Riley, S. P., J. P. Pollinger, R. M. Sauvajot, E. C. York, C. Bromley, T. K. Fuller, and R. K.
20
21 Wayne. 2006. A southern California freeway is a physical and social barrier to gene flow
22
23 in carnivores. *Molecular Ecology* 15:1733-1741.
24
25

26 Schwartz, M. K., J. P. Copeland, N. J. Anderson, J. R. Squires, R. M. Inman, K. S. McKelvey, K.
27
28 L. Pilgrim, L. P. Waits, and S. A. Cushman. 2009. Wolverine gene flow across a narrow
29
30 climatic niche. *Ecology* 90:3222-3232.
31
32

33 Shaw, E. P., and J. Ford. 2012. *The Lone Wolverine: Tracking Michigan's Most Elusive Animal*.
34
35 University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor.
36
37

38 Squires, J. R., D. H. Pletscher, T. J. Ulizio, and F. F. Ruggiero. 2006. The association between
39
40 landscape features and transportation corridors on movements and habitat-use patterns of
41
42 wolverines, Final Report, June 2006, Montana Department of Transportation Project No.
43
44 8171.
45
46
47

48 Stoner, D. C., W. R. Rieth, M. L. Wolfe, M. B. Mecham, and A. Neville. 2008. Long-distance
49
50 dispersal of a female cougar in a basin and range landscape. *Journal of Wildlife*
51
52 *Management* 72:933-939.
53
54

55 Sweanor, L. L., K. A. Logan, and M. Hornocker. 2000. Cougar dispersal patterns,
56
57 metapopulation dynamics, and conservation. *Conservation Biology* 14:798-808.
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 Trombulak, S. C., and C. A. Frissell. 2000. Review of ecological effects of roads on terrestrial
5
6 and aquatic communities. *Conservation Biology* 14:18-30.
7
8

9 US Geological Survey (USGS) Gap Analysis Program. 2010. National land cover, version 1.
10

11 Vangen, K. M., J. Persson, A. Landa, R. Anderson, and P. Segerström. 2001. Characteristics of
12
13 dispersal in wolverines. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 79:1641-1649.
14
15

16 Weaver, J. L., P. C. Paquet, and L. F. Ruggiero. 1996. Resilience and conservation of large
17
18 carnivores in the Rocky Mountains. *Conservation Biology* 10:964-976.
19
20

21 Woodroffe, R., and J. R. Ginsberg. 1998. Edge effects and the extinction of populations inside
22
23 protected areas. *Science* 280:2126-2128.
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Figures

Figure 1. Map of VHF locations (black dots) of wolverine M56 recorded between initial capture in northwest Wyoming on 20 December 2008 (black dot encircled by white) and the final location we collected in Colorado on 1 July 2009 (white dot with black “x”). Primary wolverine habitat (areas suitable for use by resident adult wolverines) is depicted in gray (Inman et al. 2013).

Figure 2a. View of the Granite Mountains (foreground at right) and Green Mountains (background) in the Wyoming Basin Ecoregion taken 8 May 2009 when M56 was relocated in the Granite Mountains via aerial VHF telemetry.

Figure 2b. Wolverine M56 (circled) in juniper woodlands in the Granite Mountains taken 8 May 2009 during dispersal through atypical habitat in the Wyoming Basin Ecoregion.

Figure 3. A wolverine, believed to be M56, showing evidence of hair-rubbing consistent with that caused by a GPS collar, photographed in Rocky Mountain National Park on 26 June 2009 (photo courtesy of Ray Rafiti).




Figure 2a

[Click here to download Figure Packila et al. Figure 2a.jpg](#)









[Click here to access/download](#)

Response to Reviewers (blinded)

Packila et al. Revision Response to Reviewers.docx

